

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/660,666   | 09/12/2003           | Keiji Takaoka        | 242312US-2SRDDIV    | 7553             |
| 22850  | 7590 09/02/2004      |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET |                      |                      | WILLIAMS, AI        | LEXANDER O       |
|  | ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | <b></b>              |                      | 2826                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/660,666   | TAKAOKA, KEIJI   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | Alexander O Williams   | 2826   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the d   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/12/  | <b>703</b> .   |  |  |  |  |
|   | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or  | vn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc  | epted or b) objected to by the   | Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | •  | - , ,  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  | ,  | 7.0d.011 01 10/11/1 1 10-102.  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>ity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | ate Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/660,666

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's Pre-Amendment filed 9/13/04 has been acknowledged.

Claims 1-13 have been canceled.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, figures 1 and 2

Species II, figures 4 and 5

Species III, figure 6 and

Species IV, figure 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,666

Art Unit: 2826

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander O Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272 1924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272 1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,666

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AOW 9/1/04

> Alexander Williams Primary Examiner